

**REMARKS**

Claims 1-6, 8-20 and 52-57 are pending. Applicants propose amending claims 8 and 9 to depend from claim 1, rather than canceled claim 7. Claims 40-51 and 58-64 have been canceled. The amendments place the case in condition for allowance, so good cause exists to enter the amendments even though presented after final rejection. No new matter has been added.

The Examiner indicates that claims 1-6, 10<sup>1</sup>-20 and 52-57 are allowed over the prior art of record. Claims 8 and 9 were rejected as depending from a canceled claim. This rejection has been addressed by amendment. Applicants submit that the present application is now in condition for allowance.

In the event that minor amendments will further prosecution, Applicants request that the Examiner contact the undersigned representative.

Respectfully submitted,

By:    David R. Crichton     
DAVID R. CRICHTON  
Attorney for Applicants  
Reg. No. 37,300

Johnson & Johnson  
One Johnson & Johnson Plaza  
New Brunswick, NJ 08933-7003  
(732) 524-6131  
Date: May 31, 2007

---

<sup>1</sup> Note that claim 10 is referenced in the Office Action summary, while the detailed comments refer to claim 11. Applicants believe that the reference to claim 11 was merely a typographical error since claim 10 depends from an allowable claim and no rejections were made as to claim 10.